. Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/303,343	RAHMAN, MOHAMED ANISUR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ricky Ngo	2697
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Ricky Ngo.	(3)	
(2) Gary Yacura.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 October 2002.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Nevo et al. (US Patent No. 6,320,873).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: No agreement was reached. However, Examiner suggested Attorney to include all limitations of claim 8 in claim 1 to overcome the standing rejection.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).</li> </ul>		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	<ol> <li>(See MPEP Section 713.04 MONTH FROM THIS INTER</li> </ol>	). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A
	n	$\alpha$
Evaminar Notas Vau must sign this form unless it is an	Kic	5/10
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)